**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA.No.758 of 2007 (O&M)

DATE OF ORDER: 24.4.2007

Sukhdev Dass

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Chuhar Ram etc

....Respondent(s)

**CORUM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. AGGARWAL**

**.*.*.*.**

Present: Mr. B.P.S. Virk, Advocate.

**M.M. AGGARWAL,J**

This is an appeal against judgment dated 31.10.2003 passed by Additional District Judge, Patiala.

There is delay of about three years in filing the appeal. Suit was under Section 92 CPC. Vide impugned judgment, a scheme had been made by the Court for providing management and utilisation of the funds of Thakur Dawara.

On behalf of the appellant, it is stated that Arjan Dass, father of the present appellant had died during the pendency of the suit and then appellant had instructed the counsel to inform about the outcome of the suit but counsel of the trial Court did not inform and therefore there had been delay. It is pointed out that that suit was of the year 1991.

I have gone through the judgment. Suit related to the property owned by Thakur Dawara. Suit was under Section 92 CPC for appointment of regular manager of Religious institutions Thakur Dawara Dehdna Tehsil Samana, District Patiala.

After the death of Arjan Dass, Sukhdev Dass had become LR and had contested the suit. Vide detailed judgment, Additional District Judge, Patiala had appointed a committee for management of the properties and had even appointed chartered Accountant for the purpose of managing

the accounts etc.

When this appeal has been filed after a delay of about three years, I do not find any good ground to condone the delay or even issue notice.

As such, appeal is dismissed.

April 24, 2007 ( M.M. AGGARWAL )
manoj JUDGE